



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/394,660	09/13/1999	BRIAN J. ROBERTS	KN71020-37(3)	4669

26875 7590 05/23/2003

WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP
2700 CAREW TOWER
441 VINE STREET
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

EXAMINER

DEXTER, CLARK F

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3724

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/394,660

Applicant(s)
Roberts et al.

Examiner
Clark F. Dexter

Art Unit
3724



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Keith Haupt

(3) _____

(2) <r. Clark Dexter

(4) _____

Date of Interview May 22, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Haupt called regarding the status of the claims because the status noted in the Office Action Summary of paper no. 23 is not consistent with the status described in the Detailed Action attached thereto. Mr. Dexter stated that the claim numbers in the Office Action Summary were inadvertently reversed. That is, claims 5, 6, 10, 33, 34 and 37 are rejected; and claims 4, 13 and 14 are objected to. Mr. Dexter also confirmed that there are no rejections under 35 USC 112, so claims 4, 13 and 14 are only objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. It is noted that a corrected version of the Office Action Summary has been attached to the Office action in the application filewrapper.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

CLARK F. DEXTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3724

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.